

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 15-6042**

---

KEITH D. GOODMAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

GENE M. JOHNSON; HAROLD W. CLARKE; JOHN JABE; FRED SHILLING;  
KIM RUNION; ELTON BROWN, Doctor; KRYM; SPRUILL, Doctor;  
HARVARD STEPHENS, Doctor,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

A. DAVID ROBINSON; J. LAFOON; Q. BIRCHETTE; MS. G.F. SIVELS;  
G. ROBINSON; CASSANDRA TAYLOR; C. MAYES; C. BAILEY; PRISON  
HEALTH SERVICES,

Defendants.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern  
District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Gerald Bruce Lee, District  
Judge. (1:11-cv-00079-GBL-IDD)

---

Submitted: May 22, 2015

Decided: June 17, 2015

---

Before GREGORY and SHEDD, Circuit Judges, and DAVIS, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Appeal dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Keith D. Goodman, Appellant Pro Se. James Milburn Isaacs, Jr.,  
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia;  
Carlene Booth Johnson, PERRY LAW FIRM, PC, Dillwyn, Virginia;  
Gary Christopher Jones, Jr., Mark Charles Nanavati, SINNOTT,  
NUCKOLS & LOGAN, PC, Midlothian, Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Keith D. Goodman appeals the district court's order denying his motion to appoint counsel. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). The order Goodman seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction, and we deny his motions to appoint counsel on appeal and for a stay pending appeal. We also deny his motion to amend his informal brief because the claims presented therein are more appropriately raised in his appeal from the district court's order granting summary judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED