

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 95-2601

MICHAEL J. BIONDO; DANIE O. GILLESPIE; JAMES
SHAW; HASKELL R. BROWN, JR.,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

and

JUNIA E. MOTT; JOHN B. MURRAY; LINDSAY K.
NELSON; JAMES E. POPE; CHARLENE T. DRIGGERS;
SHEILA ANNE MARTIN; ROBERT M. CLEMENTS, JR.;
IRONWORKERS LOCAL 800; ELECTRICAL WORKERS
LOCAL 916; PIPEFITTERS LOCAL 359; CARPENTERS
LOCAL 2151; JOHN B. MURRAY, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

versus

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY,

Defendant - Appellee,

NORMAN R. KNIGHT, III,

Intervenor.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
South Carolina, at Charleston. David C. Norton, District Judge.
(CA-92-184-2-18)

Submitted: May 16, 1996

Decided: May 28, 1996

Before RUSSELL, LUTTIG, and WILLIAMS, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Michael J. Biondo, Danie O. Gillespie, James Shaw, Haskell R. Brown, Jr., Appellants Pro Se. Margaret Beane Seymour, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellants appeal from the district court's order granting summary judgment. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. The Appellants claimed that the Appellee violated the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. § 522a (1988), by denying them access to unofficial personnel files. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Biondo v. Department of the Navy, No. CA-92-184-2-18 (D.S.C. Aug. 9, 1995). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

