

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 95-2622

---

JOHN R. MCINTYRE; RUTH E. MCINTYRE,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

versus

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA; CHAIRMAN, LOUDOUN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (George Burton); CIRCUIT COURT OF VIRGINIA FOR LOUDOUN COUNTY (Judge J. H. Chamblin); RICHARD KIRK, Clerk of the Court, Circuit Court of Virginia for Loudoun County; SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA; F&M BANK-WINCHESTER,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. T. S. Ellis, III, District Judge. (CA-95-764-A)

---

Submitted: November 30, 1995                      Decided: January 29, 1996

---

Before MURNAGHAN, WILKINS, and LUTTIG, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

John R. McIntyre, Ruth E. McIntyre, Appellants Pro Se. Rita Marie Sampson, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia; John Thomas Burch, Jr., MALONEY & BURCH, Washington, D.C.; Henry Edmunds Coleman, III, BRYAN & COLEMAN, P.L.C., Winchester, Virginia, for Appellees.

---



---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellants appeal from the district court's order denying relief on their 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1988) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny the motion to dismiss the appeal, and affirm on the reasoning of the district court. McIntyre v. Attorney Gen., No. CA-95-764-A (E.D. Va. August 11, 1995). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process. We deny the motions of Appellees Kirk and the Chairman of the Loudoun County Board of Supervisors to dismiss them as parties.

AFFIRMED