

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 95-6968

WILLIAM GREEN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

PARKER EVATT; LAURIE BESSINGER, Warden; NANCY
GLENN; CHARLES MOORE; JUDY JOHNSON; T. MURRAY,
Individually, and in their official
capacities,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
South Carolina, at Columbia. David C. Norton, District Judge.
(CA-94-376-3-18BC)

Submitted: January 18, 1996

Decided: February 15, 1996

Before HAMILTON and LUTTIG, Circuit Judges, and CHAPMAN, Senior
Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

William Green, Appellant Pro Se. Stephen P. Hughes, HOWELL, GIBSON
& HUGHES, P.A., Beaufort, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals from the district court's order granting him a thirty-day extension of time to note his appeal when he requested a 180-day extension. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no abuse of discretion and no reversible error. See Allied Steel v. Abilene, 909 F.2d 139, 142 (5th Cir. 1990). Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Green v. Evatt, No. CA-94-376-3-18BC (D.S.C. May 9, 1995). We note that although Appellant stated his intent to appeal from the order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1988) complaint, he limited his notice of appeal to the order denying a further extension. Thus, this court's appellate jurisdiction is limited to review of that order. See Gunther v. E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co., 255 F.2d 710, 717-18 (4th Cir. 1958). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED