

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 95-7117

---

RONALD JERRY SAWYER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

EDWARD MURRAY; R. A. YOUNG; LARRY HUFFMAN;  
WARDEN OSBORNE; G. D. JOHNSON; CORRECTIONAL  
OFFICER RUSSELL; BOB STAMPER, Sergeant; LONNIE  
SAUNDERS; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER HUMPHRIES;  
V. V. GRANT; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER WARNER; COR-  
RECTIONAL OFFICER MINTER; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER  
WAGNER; LIEUTENANT DOSS; JOHNNIE RUSSELL; COR-  
RECTIONAL OFFICER SHEFFY; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER  
CREGGAR; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER BLEVINS,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western  
District of Virginia, at Roanoke. Jackson L. Kiser, Chief District  
Judge. (CA-94-389-R)

---

Submitted: November 16, 1995

Decided: January 29, 1996

---

Before MICHAEL and MOTZ, Circuit Judges, and BUTZNER, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

---

Ronald Jerry Sawyer, Appellant Pro Se. Mark Ralph Davis, OFFICE OF  
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia, for  
Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's orders denying his motions for sanctions and default judgment. He also appeals from the denial of his motion to vacate the court's orders denying appointment of counsel, petition for habeas corpus ad testificandum, and various default motions. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the order is not appealable. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (1988), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (1988); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Industrial Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541 (1949). The order here appealed is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order.

We dismiss the appeal as interlocutory.\* We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

---

\* We deny Appellant's motions for appointment of counsel, "Motion for Award of Expenses of Motion on Appeal," "Motion to Amend Injunction Into a 42 U.S.C. [§] 1983 [1988] Complaint with Relief Prayer At Conclusion of Claims," "Motion for Relief of Order Due to Mistake," motion for sanctions, and motion for default judgment.