

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 95-7909**

---

DARRIN DEWAYNE BRUMMETT,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

and

SCOTT WILKINSON; MICHAEL A. COSENTINO; RONNIE  
B. SHARPE; DON R. SMITH; DARRLY A. DIXON;  
LAMAR DESHAWN MULLINS; DANIEL ID-DEEN; LONNIE  
THOMPSON; MICHAEL PETERSON, a/k/a Larry G.  
Arrington,

Plaintiffs,

versus

JAMES MCCAULEY,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

**No. 95-8586**

---

LAMAR DESHAWN MULLINS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

and

DARRIN DEWAYNE BRUMMETT; SCOTT WILKINSON;  
MICHAEL A. COSENTINO; RONNIE B. SHARPE; DON R.  
SMITH; DARRLY A. DIXON; DANIEL ID-DEEN; LONNIE  
THOMPSON; MICHAEL PETERSON, a/k/a Larry G.  
Arrington,

Plaintiffs,

versus

JAMES MCCAULEY,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Columbia. Henry M. Herlong, Jr., District Judge. (CA-95-3262-3-20BC)

---

Submitted: July 23, 1996

Decided: July 30, 1996

---

Before WIDENER, NIEMEYER, and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Darrin DeWayne Brummett, Lamar Deshawn Mullins, Appellants Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellants appeal from the district court's order denying relief on their 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1988) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Brummett v. McCauley, No. CA-95-3262-3-20BC (D.S.C. Nov. 8, 1995). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED