

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 96-1030**

---

JOHN RODGERS BURNLEY,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; UNITED STATES MARINE  
CORPS; BOARD OF CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS;  
NAVAL DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD; SECRETARY OF THE  
NAVY; DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS, Loan  
Processing Section, Roanoke, Virginia; DEPART-  
MENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS, Loan Guaranty Divi-  
sion; DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS OF CLEVE-  
LAND, OHIO; DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS OF  
THE GENERAL COUNSEL, WASHINGTON, DC; SECRETARY  
OF VETERANS AFFAIRS; DEPARTMENT OF THE  
TREASURY,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern Dis-  
trict of Virginia, at Richmond. James R. Spencer, District Judge.  
(CA-95-743)

---

Submitted: May 16, 1996

Decided: June 3, 1996

---

Before RUSSELL, LUTTIG, and WILLIAMS, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

---

John Rodgers Burnley, Appellant Pro Se. Roger William  
Frydrychowksi, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Richmond,  
Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals from the district court's order dismissing his complaint for lack of jurisdiction. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Burnley v. United States, No. CA-95-743 (E.D. Va. Dec. 15, 1995). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED