

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 96-6093**

---

JULIAN EDWARD ROCHESTER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; J. ARTHUR ROBINSON;  
K. GREEN; R. DIVINE, Mr., of the Education  
Department; M. DEASE; J. BYRD; A. JOYNER, Mr.;  
M. DEVAUGHN, Ms.; W. RATLIFF, Mr.; R. PRATT,  
Mr.; W. L. EAGLETON, Associate Warden; WILLIAM  
R. DAVIS, Warden; R. DRAKE, Mr.; MR. DAWKINS;  
SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
South Carolina, at Charleston. William B. Traxler, Jr., District  
Judge. (CA-93-3344-2-21AJ)

---

Submitted: September 20, 1996

Decided: October 3, 1996

---

Before NIEMEYER, HAMILTON, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Julian Edward Rochester, Appellant Pro Se. David Leon Morrison,  
John Thomas Lay, Jr., ELLIS, LAWHORNE, DAVIDSON & SIMS, P.A.,  
Columbia, South Carolina; Merl Floyd Code, Sr., CODE, WESTON &  
MOSLEY, Greenville, South Carolina, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).



PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals from the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1994) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Rochester v. South Carolina, No. CA-93-3344-2-21AJ (D.S.C. Dec. 28, 1995). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED