

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 96-6535

---

MICHAEL P. TULLY; LARRY DANIEL BRATT,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

versus

PARRIS N. GLENDENING, Governor; MARYLAND  
PAROLE COMMISSION,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
Maryland, at Baltimore. J. Frederick Motz, Chief District Judge.  
(CA-95-3617-JFM)

---

Submitted: October 3, 1996

Decided: October 10, 1996

---

Before ERVIN, LUTTIG, and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Michael P. Tully, Larry Daniel Bratt, Appellants Pro Se. John  
Joseph Curran, Jr., Attorney General, Richard Bruce Rosenblatt,  
Assistant Attorney General, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals from the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1994) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Tully v. Glendening, No. CA-95-3617-JFM (D. Md. Feb. 29, 1996). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED