

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-6691

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

SOLOMON HAMM, a/k/a Soloman Ham, a/k/a Charles
R. Brewer,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern Dis-
trict of Virginia, at Norfolk. Rebecca B. Smith, District Judge.
(CR-90-53-N, CA-96-160-2)

Submitted: July 23, 1996

Decided: August 6, 1996

Before WIDENER, NIEMEYER, and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Solomon Hamm, Appellant Pro Se. Arenda L. Wright Allen, Assistant
United States Attorney, Norfolk, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals from the district court's order denying his motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (1988), as amended by Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-132, 110 Stat. 1217. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss on the reasoning of the district court. United States v. Hamm, Nos. CR-90-53-N; CA-96-160-2 (E.D. Va. Apr. 10, 1996). We deny Appellant's motion for review of the denial of post-judgment motions by the district court. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED