

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 96-7223**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

MAYSO LAWRENCE, JR.,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Herbert N. Maletz, Senior Judge, sitting by designation. (CR-89-391-JFM, CA-96-1336-HNM)

---

Submitted: July 15, 1997

Decided: July 30, 1997

---

Before HALL and HAMILTON, Circuit Judges, and PHILLIPS, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Mayso Lawrence, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. John Vincent Geise, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Greenbelt, Maryland, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's order denying his motion filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (1994) (current version at 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West 1994 & Supp. 1997)). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. United States v. Lawrence, Nos. CR-89-391-JFM; CA-96-1336-HNM (D. Md. June 28, 1996). See Lindh v. Murphy, 521 U.S. \_\_\_\_, 1997 WL 338568 (U.S. June 23, 1997) (No. 96-6298). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED