

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 97-1002

LAURA D. COOPER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

JOHN HASTY, Individually and as Director of the Virginia Department of Health Professions; EVELYN R. FLEMING, Individually and as Assistant Attorney General assigned to advise the Virginia Department of Health Professions; WARREN W. KOONTZ, M.D.; KAREN E. KNAPP, M.D.; CHARLES H. CROWDER, JR.; JOSEPH A. LEMING, M.D.; ANTHONY U. MOORE; PAUL M. SPECTOR, D.O.; THOMAS A. WASH, M.D., Individually and as Members of the Virginia Board of Medicine; THE VIRGINIA BOARD OF MEDICINE, as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth of Virginia; GEORGE ALLEN, as Governor of the Commonwealth of Virginia; U.S. DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION, as an executive agency within the United States Department of Justice; SEVEN UNKNOWN NAMED AGENTS OF THE UNITED STATES DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION, designated herein as T, U, V, W, X, Y, and Z, Individually and as Officials of the United States Drug Enforcement Administration; CLARKE RUSS, M.D.; THERESA FAHY KASEMAN, D.P.M.,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. Robert R. Merhige, Jr., Senior District Judge. (CA-96-780)

Submitted: August 14, 1997

Decided: August 20, 1997

Before NIEMEYER, Circuit Judge, and BUTZNER and PHILLIPS, Senior Circuit Judges.

Affirmed in part and dismissed in part by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Laura D. Cooper, Appellant Pro Se. Mary Elizabeth Shea, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia; Carlotta Porter Wells, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C., for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's order dismissing her civil action. The district court found that Appellant lacked standing. We find no reversible error. See Allen v. Wright, 468 U.S. 737, 751 (1984) (party must allege personal injury fairly traceable to allegedly unlawful conduct). Consequently, we affirm the district court's dismissal of her action. To the extent Appellant appeals the denial of her motion for a temporary restraining order, we dismiss the appeal as moot. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED IN PART AND DISMISSED IN PART