

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 97-6085**

---

HENRY CLECKLEY,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

JOHN B. TAYLOR, Warden, individually and in his official capacity; HENRY PONTON, Assistant Warden, individually and in his official capacity; MAJOR JONES, individually and in his official capacity; CAPTAIN BOOKER, individually and in his official capacity; CAPTAIN WOODSON, individually and in his official capacity; LIEUTENANT WILSON, individually and in his official capacity; LIEUTENANT COTTRELL, individually and in his official capacity; LIEUTENANT ELDRIDGE, individually and in his official capacity; LIEUTENANT CEE, individually and in his official capacity; LIEUTENANT CALL, individually and in his official capacity; SERGEANT JONES, individually and in his official capacity; S. LESUEUR, Correctional Officer, individually and in his official capacity; H. JONES, Correctional Officer, individually and in his official capacity; NURSE JAMES, individually and in her official capacity; NURSE MEADORS, individually and in her official capacity; NURSE MONROE, individually and in her official capacity; NURSE WOOTEN, individually and in her official capacity; O. F. SALINAS, Doctor, individually and in his official capacity; L.P.N. DIXON, LIEUTENANT SEAY; R. K. WHITE, Lieutenant,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Robert G. Doumar, Senior District Judge. (CA-96-407-2)

---

Submitted: May 1, 1997

Decided: May 8, 1997

---

Before WIDENER and MURNAGHAN, Circuit Judges, and PHILLIPS, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Henry Cleckley, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant, Henry Cleckley, appeals the district court's orders dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1994) complaint and declining to vacate that order. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and orders and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Cleckley v. Taylor, No. CA-96-407-2 (E.D. Va. Nov. 8, 1996; Jan. 7, 1997). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process. We further deny Appellant's motion for emergency relief, motion for a restraining order, motion to amend the motion for a restraining order, and motion to subpoena witnesses.

AFFIRMED