

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 97-6962

RAYMOND SINGLETON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

BRENDA LEWIS, SRN,

Defendant - Appellee,

and

GEORGE HINKLE, Warden; DOCTOR RODA; T.
GASKINS, LPN; HILL; MRS. JOHNSON; SERGEANT
WOOLARD,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of Virginia, at Richmond. Richard L. Williams, Senior
District Judge. (CA-96-469-3)

Submitted: February 12, 1998

Decided: February 26, 1998

Before MURNAGHAN and WILLIAMS, Circuit Judges, and PHILLIPS, Senior
Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Raymond Singleton, Appellant Pro Se. David Ernest Boelzner, Michael Lawrence Goodman, WRIGHT, ROBINSON, OSTHIMER & TATUM, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Raymond Singleton appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1994) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court and deny Singleton's pending motions for discovery, general relief, production of documents, and appointment of counsel.* Singleton v. Hinkle, No. CA-96-469-3 (E.D. Va. Jan. 29; July 29, 1997). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* Singleton's notice of appeal, filed on June 19, 1997, specifies only the June 11, 1997 order denying his motion to file an amended complaint. We note, however, that his informal brief was filed within the appeal period from the final order of January 29, 1997 and complies with Fed. R. App. P. 3(c). Accordingly, we construe this informal brief as a notice of appeal from the January 29, and July 29, 1997 orders. See Smith v. Barry, 502 U.S. 244, 248 (1992) (holding that informal brief filed within appeal period may serve as notice of appeal where it complies with requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 3.); McLaurin v. Fischer, 768 F.2d 98, 101 (6th Cir. 1985) (noting that appeal from final judgment calls into question all previous rulings leading to judgment).