

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 97-7158

VERNON L. QUINN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

CHARLES E. HILL; LARRY S. DAVIS; DARLYN WHITE;
M. C. NORWOOD; J. A. GAY; C. MEADOWS; JEFFREY
M. MANLEY; JAMES VAUGHN; PERSHIELD DELOATCH;
MICHAEL W. POWELL; A. T. GRANT; A. PIERCE;
D. WILLIAMS; K. F. PITTMAN; SERGEANT HAWKINS;
H. B. DELOATCH; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER SIMMON;
P. SMITH; ALMA HUX; A. DAVIS; FRANKLIN
FREEMAN; LYNN PHILLIPS; ERNEST SMITH; MACK
JARVIS; DANIEL L. STIENEKE; NATHAN RICE; GARY
T. DIXON; W. E. MCMICHAEL, JR.; MARTHA M.
BUFFALOE; JOHN C. WOOD; BERNARD W. MASON;
SHELDON SKINNER; CY A. GRANT, SR.; ALFRED W.
KWASIKPUI,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern Dis-
trict of North Carolina, at Raleigh. James C. Fox, District Judge.
(CA-97-375)

Submitted: April 29, 1998

Decided: May 14, 1998

Before MURNAGHAN, NIEMEYER, and WILLIAMS, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Vernon L. Quinn, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant, a North Carolina inmate, appeals the district court's order dismissing as frivolous his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1994) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find that this appeal is frivolous. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. Quinn v. Hill, No. CA-97-375 (E.D.N.C. Aug. 17, 1997). We deny the motion for injunction and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED