

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 97-7693

WALLACE MITCHELL,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

RICHARD A. LANHAM, SR.; THOMAS CORCORAN; J. SWIVEL, Case Manager; WILLIAM O. FILBERT, JR.; CAPTAIN MOORE; CAPTAIN TOBASH; CYNTHIA SIMMONS, Case Manager; KENNETH OLIVER, Correctional Officer; L. MACK, Disciplinary Hearing Officer; RONALD SINGLETARY, Correction Guard; D. SMITH, Sergeant, in their personal and professional capacities; STATE OF MARYLAND; DIVISION OF CORRECTION; D. MATTHEWS, Officer, in her personal and professional capacity,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Benson E. Legg, District Judge. (CA-96-3182-L)

Submitted: October 20, 1998

Decided: November 3, 1998

Before WILKINS and HAMILTON, Circuit Judges, and BUTZNER, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Wallace Mitchell, Appellant Pro Se. John Joseph Curran, Jr., Attorney General, Toni-Jean Lisa, Gloria Selena Wilson-Shelton, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MARYLAND, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (West Supp. 1998) complaint and district court's order denying Appellant's motion for reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Mitchell v. Lanham, No. CA-96-3182-L (D. Md. Oct. 9 & Nov. 10, 1997). We deny Appellant's "Motion for a Ruling on the Non-contested Appeal" because the Appellees were not required to file an appellate brief. See generally 4th Cir. Local R. 34(b). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED