

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 98-6539**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

ANDREW COMO,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Spartanburg. Henry M. Herlong, Jr., District Judge. (CR-95-495, CA-97-3477-7-20)

---

Submitted: July 30, 1998

Decided: August 26, 1998

---

Before WIDENER, LUTTIG, and WILLIAMS, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Andrew Como, Appellant Pro Se. Harold Watson Gowdy, III, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Greenville, South Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Andrew Como seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his motion filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West 1994 & Supp. 1998). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny Como's motion for judgment on the pleadings, and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. United States v. Como, Nos. CR-95-495; CA-97-3477-7-20 (D.S.C. Mar. 4, 1998). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED