

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 98-6718**

---

JERVON L. HERBIN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

JANET C. HOEFFEL, Staff Attorney, D.C. Public Defender Service; JO-ANN WALLACE, Director, D.C. Public Defender Service; ANITA M. JOSEY, Deputy Director, D.C. Public Defender Service; MICHELLE A. ROBERTS, Chairperson, D.C. Public Defender Service Board of Trustees; CONSTANCE T. O'BRYANT, Vice Chairperson, D.C. Public Defender Service Board of Trustees; FRANCIS D. CARTER, Member, D.C. Public Defender Service Board of Trustees; ANTHONY MATTHEWS, Staff Attorney, D.C. Public Defender Service; KARIN H. CATHER, Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney, Loudoun County, Virginia; OWEN D. BASHAM, Deputy Commonwealth's Attorney, Loudoun County, Virginia; STEVE SIMPSON, Sheriff, Loudoun County, Virginia; KENNETH FOGNANO, Detective/Investigator, Loudoun County Sheriff's Department; JEROME L. HICKMAN, Lieutenant, Loudoun County Sheriff's Department; JOHN BUCKMAN, Sergeant, Loudoun County Sheriff's Department; MARK DAVIS, Lieutenant, Loudoun County Sheriff's Department,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Leonie M. Brinkema, District Judge. (CA-98-233-AM)

---

Submitted: November 5, 1998

Decided: November 19, 1998

---

Before ERVIN, LUTTIG, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Jervon L. Herbin, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Jervon Herbin appeals from the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1998) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Herbin v. Hoeffel, No. CA-98-233-AM (E.D. Va. Mar. 25, 1998). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED