

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 98-7294**

---

JOHN R. BINNS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

E. M. TUCKER, Virginia Parole Board Chairman;  
RON ANGELONE, Virginia Department of Correc-  
tions Director,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern Dis-  
trict of Virginia, at Alexandria. James C. Cacheris, Senior Dis-  
trict Judge. (CA-97-159-AM)

---

Submitted: January 21, 1999

Decided: February 9, 1999

---

Before LUTTIG, MOTZ, and KING, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

John R. Binns, Appellant Pro Se. William W. Muse, Assistant Attor-  
ney General, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

John R. Binns appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1998) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Binns v. Tucker, No. CA-97-159-AM (E.D. Va. Aug. 3, 1998).\* We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

---

\* Although the district court's order is marked "filed" on July 31, 1998, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on August 3, 1998. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date that the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).