

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 98-7338

STEPHEN MARK BISHOP,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NORTH CAROLINA; MACK
JARVIS,

Respondents - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle Dis-
trict of North Carolina, at Durham. William L. Osteen, District
Judge. (CA-97-807-1)

Submitted: April 30, 1999

Decided: May 25, 1999

Before WIDENER and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges, and HALL, Senior Cir-
cuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Stephen Mark Bishop, Appellant Pro Se. Clarence Joe DelForge, III,
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NORTH CAROLINA, Raleigh, North
Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Stephen Mark Bishop appeals the district court's order denying relief on his petition filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2254 (West 1994 & Supp. 1998). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. See Bishop v. Attorney General North Carolina, No. CA-97-807-1 (M.D.N.C. July 28, 1998). We deny Bishop's "Motion for Hearing" and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED