

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 99-1369

WINFRED F. NICHOLSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

THE COUNTY OF LOUDOUN, VIRGINIA,

Defendant - Appellee,

and

CARLOS J. SOLORZANO; COUNTY OF LOUDOUN, OFFICE
OF THE TREASURER; JOHN DOE, A-Z; JANE DOE, A-
Z,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern Dis-
trict of Virginia, at Alexandria. T.S. Ellis, III, District Judge.
(CA-98-1111-A)

Submitted: August 19, 1999

Decided: August 25, 1999

Before WIDENER and KING, Circuit Judges, and PHILLIPS, Senior Cir-
cuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Winfred F. Nicholson, Appellant Pro Se. Roderick Benedict Williams, HOPKINS & SUTTER, Washington, D.C.; John Richard Roberts, Leesburg, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Winfred F. Nicholson appeals the district court's order dismissing his action in which he complained that the County violated his civil rights by seizing a 1993 Lexus and assessing nearly \$4,000 in personal property taxes. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Nicholson v. County of Loudoun, Virginia, No. CA-98-1111-A (E.D. Va. Feb. 9, 1999). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED