

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 99-2189

LINDA R. SHARP,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

DARE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, a governmental agency of the County of Dare; SARAH A. MASSEY, Social Worker of the Dare County Department of Social Services, in her official and individual capacities; SAUNDRA BROOKSHIRE, Social Worker Supervisor of the Dare County Department of Social Services, in her official and individual capacities; JAY BURRUS, Director of the Dare County Department of Social Services, in his official and individual capacities,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

C. ROBIN BRITT, Secretary of Human Resources, former Secretary of the Department of Human Resources of the State of North Carolina, in his official and individual capacities; STEVEN MICHAEL, individually and in his official capacity as attorney for the Dare County Department of Social Services; MICHAEL SHARP, Outten and Graham, L.L.P., individually and in their official capacity as the law firm representing the Dare County Department of Social Services; STARKEY SHARP,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Elizabeth City. Malcolm J. Howard, District Judge. (CA-97-34-2-H)

Submitted: February 10, 2000 Decided: February 15, 2000

Before WIDENER and NIEMEYER, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Linda R. Sharp, Appellant Pro Se. James Russell Sugg, Sr., Jill Quattlebaum Byrum, SUMRELL, SUGG, CARMICHAEL, HICKS & HART, P.A., New Bern, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Linda R. Sharp appeals the district court's order denying relief on her 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1999) complaint and declining to exercise jurisdiction over her supplemental state law claims. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Sharp v. Dare County Dep't Soc. Servs., No. CA-97-34-2-H (E.D.N.C. Aug. 10, 1999). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED