

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 99-2251

ELLIS S. FRISON, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

and

LISA A. FRISON,

Plaintiff,

versus

FRIEDMAN & MACFADYEN, PA; STEPHEN B. WOOD;
OTHER UNNAMED DEFENDANTS,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
Maryland, at Greenbelt. Alexander Williams, Jr., District Judge.
(CA-98-2359-AW)

Submitted: March 9, 2000

Decided: March 15, 2000

Before WILKINS, TRAXLER, and KING, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Ellis S. Frison, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. Kenneth John MacFadyen,
FRIEDMAN & MACFADYEN, P.C., Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Ellis S. Frison, Jr., appeals the district court's orders dismissing his civil action and denying his motion for default judgment. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinions and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Frison v. Friedman & MacFadyen, No. CA-98-2359-AW (D. Md. Aug. 11, 1999 & Oct. 1, 1998).^{*} We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*} Although the district court's order is marked as "filed" on August 10, 1999, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on August 11, 1999. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986). To the extent Frison objects to other district court orders, we find no reversible error.