

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 99-6483**

---

CHARLES RICHARD RILEY,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

A. D. MATHEWS, SR., Sheriff; EARL MULLINS, Internal Affairs; NANCY JUSTICE, Classification; TROY FISHER, Deputy Sheriff; W. LASSITER, Classification; ELLEN HOLT, Lieutenant; DEPUTY SHERIFF JESSIE; DEPUTY SHERIFF TABB; B. MALLORY, Deputy Sheriff; DEPUTY SHERIFF COLEY; RONALD REDFORD, Deputy Sheriff; DEPUTY SHERIFF HURT; UNKNOWN DEPUTIES, Deputy Sheriff; UNKNOWN MEDICAL PERSONNEL, Jail Medical; UNKNOWN CLASSIFICATION PERSONNEL, Classification,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Barry R. Poretz, Magistrate Judge. (CA-98-94-AM)

---

Submitted: October 29, 1999

Decided: December 20, 1999

---

Before LUTTIG, MICHAEL, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Charles Richard Riley, Appellant Pro Se. William Kerfoot Lewis, BEALE, BALFOUR, DAVIDSON, ETHERINGTON & PARKER, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Charles Richard Riley appeals the magistrate judge's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1999) complaint after a trial.\* We have reviewed the record and the magistrate judge's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the magistrate judge. See Riley v. Mathews, No. CA-98-94-AM (E.D. Va. Mar. 26, 1999). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

---

\* The parties consented to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge over this civil action. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) (1994).