

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 99-7014**

---

ERROL WRIGHT,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

E. J. WILLIS; SERGEANT WILLIAMS; R. J. DASH-  
DEN; F. GRANT; S. SHIELD; S. WITTAKER; TRENT  
MILES,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
Maryland, at Baltimore. Paul W. Grimm, Magistrate Judge. (CA-97-  
1710-H)

---

Submitted: November 23, 1999

Decided: December 21, 1999

---

Before MURNAGHAN, NIEMEYER, and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Errol Wright, Appellant Pro Se. John Joseph Curran, Jr., Attorney  
General, Kimberly Smith Ward, Gloria Wilson Shelton, OFFICE OF THE  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MARYLAND, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Errol Wright appeals the magistrate judge's order after a trial denying relief on his 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1999) complaint.\* We have reviewed the record and the district court's findings of fact and find no reversible error. The magistrate judge's factual findings are not clearly erroneous. See Martin v. Deirigqi, 985 F.2d 129, 136 (4th Cir. 1992). The magistrate judge's credibility determinations will not be disturbed on appeal. See United States v. Saunders, 886 F.2d 56, 60 (4th Cir. 1989); McCrary v. Runyon, 515 F.2d 1082, 1086 (4th Cir. 1975). Accordingly, we affirm. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

---

\* The proceedings in this action were conducted by a magistrate judge pursuant to the consent of the parties. See 28 U.S.C.A. § 636(c) (West 1993 & Supp. 1999).