

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 99-7211

DONALD LEE TAYLOR, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

WILLIAM K. DAVIS, Commissioner D.O.C., professional and individual capacities; HOWARD PAINTER, Warden, Mt. Olive Correctional Complex, professional and individual capacities; GEORGE TRENT, professional and individual capacities; TONY LEMASTERS, professional and individual capacities; KERI COLEMAN, professional and individual capacities; SUSAN LANHAM, professional and individual capacities; STEVEN BERRYMAN, professional and individual capacities; DAVID JONES, professional and individual capacities; RALPH TERRI, professional and individual capacities; WILLIAM KENNEDY, professional and individual capacities; LARRY HAMLIN, professional and individual capacities; DUSTY LEWIS, professional and individual capacities; LEO PEAK, professional and individual capacities; JOSEPH CONNELLY, professional and individual capacities; JAMES BROWN, professional and individual capacities; JOSEPH B. COY, professional and individual capacities,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, at Beckley. Robert C. Chambers, District Judge. (CA-98-1181)

Submitted: February 10, 2000

Decided: February 14, 2000

Before WIDENER and NIEMEYER, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Donald Lee Taylor, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. Leslie K. Tyree, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Donald Lee Taylor, Jr. appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1999) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion accepting the magistrate judge's report and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal as frivolous on the reasoning of the district court. See Taylor v. Davis, No. CA-98-1181 (S.D.W. Va. Aug. 25, 1999). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED