

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 99-7212**

---

LENROY MARTIN,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

THOMAS R. CORCORAN; J. JOSEPH CURRAN, JR.,

Respondents - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Peter J. Messitte, District Judge. (CA-99-198-PJM)

---

Submitted: March 23, 2000

Decided: March 29, 2000

---

Before LUTTIG, WILLIAMS, and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Lenroy Martin, Appellant Pro Se. John Joseph Curran, Jr., Ann Norman Bosse, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MARYLAND, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Lenroy Martin seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his petition filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2254 (West 1994 & Supp. 1999). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. See Martin v. Corcoran, No. CA-99-198-PJM (D. Md. Aug. 11, 1999).\* We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

---

\* Although the district court's order is marked as "filed" on August 10, 1999, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on August 11, 1999. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).