UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
	No. 17-6992	
DAVID ROSS WHITLOW,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
HAROLD CLARKE, Director, Vin	ginia Department of	Corrections,
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States D. Alexandria. Anthony John Trenga		_
Submitted: January 25, 2018		Decided: February 12, 2018
Before TRAXLER, KING, and FL	OYD, Circuit Judges	i.
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.	
Jonathan P. Sheldon, SHELDON, Appellant. Eugene Paul Murph VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia, fo	y, OFFICE OF TH	_
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

David Ross Whitlow seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Whitlow has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED