

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 00-6454

CARL W. WIMBUSH,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

ARTHUR KENNEDY, Medical Doctor at Allendale Correctional Institution; WARDEN, Allendale Correction Medical Department; BYRNES, Medical Doctor at Allendale Correctional Institution; ARBELLO, Nurse at Allendale Correctional Institution; G. SANDERS, Officer on Allendale Correctional Institution Security Staff; G. MIRO, Warden of Allendale Correctional Institution,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Charleston. Margaret B. Seymour, District Judge. (CA-99-3733-2-24AJ)

Submitted: May 25, 2000

Decided: June 6, 2000

Before WILLIAMS, MICHAEL, and KING, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Carl W. Wimbush, Appellant Pro Se. James Miller Davis, Jr., DAVIDSON, MORRISON & LINDEMANN, P.A., Columbia, South Carolina; Norma Anne Turner Jett, EARLY & NESS, Bamberg, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Carl W. Wimbush appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1999) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion accepting the magistrate judge's recommendation and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Wimbush v. Kennedy, No. CA-99-3733-2-24AJ (D.S.C. Mar. 9, 2000).^{*} We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*} Although the district court's order is marked as "filed" on March 7, 2000, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on March 9, 2000. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).