

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 00-7237**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

ARNOLD MURDOCK,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Frederic N. Smalkin, District Judge. (CR-92-301-S)

---

Submitted: January 18, 2001

Decided: January 24, 2001

---

Before WIDENER and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Arnold Murdock, Appellant Pro Se. Joyce Kallam McDonald, Assistant United States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Arnold Murdock appeals the district court's order denying him an extension of time in which to file a notice of appeal of his conviction and sentence.\* We have reviewed the record and the district court's order and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny Murdock's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, deny his motion for a certificate of appealability, and dismiss on the reasoning of the district court. United States v. Murdock, No. CR-92-301-S (D. Md. Aug. 10, 2000). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

---

\* Final judgment was entered in Murdock's case in 1993. Therefore, the district court construed Murdock's notice of appeal, filed July 31, 2000, as a motion for extension of time to file an appeal.