

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 00-7542**

---

JENNINGS WILLIAM THOMASON,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; ATTORNEY GENERAL OF  
SOUTH CAROLINA,

Respondents - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
South Carolina, at Florence. Soloman Blatt, Jr., Senior District  
Judge. (CA-99-2989-4-08BF)

---

Submitted: February 22, 2001

Decided: March 1, 2001

---

Before WIDENER and WILLIAMS, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Jennings William Thomason, Appellant Pro Se. William Edgar Salter,  
III, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SOUTH CAROLINA, Columbia,  
South Carolina, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Jennings William Thomason seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his petition filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2254 (West 1994 & Supp. 2000). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. Thomason v. South Carolina, No. CA-99-2989-4-08BF (D.S.C. Sept. 29, 2000). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED