

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 02-1315

MUSTAFA CETIN; SEVIL CETIN,

Petitioners,

versus

U.S. IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE;
JOHN ASHCROFT,

Respondents.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals. (A73-005-343, A73-005-344)

Submitted: November 21, 2002

Decided: January 6, 2003

Before MICHAEL and TRAXLER, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Eliot Norman, Patricia A. Collins, MCCANDLISH HOLTON, P.C., Richmond, Virginia, for Petitioners. Robert D. McCallum, Jr., Assistant Attorney General, Christopher C. Fuller, Senior Litigation Counsel, Jennifer A. Parker, Office of Immigration Litigation, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C., for Respondents.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Mustafa Cetin and Sevil Cetin, natives and citizens of Turkey, petition for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board) adopting the decision of the Immigration Judge (IJ) and denying Mustafa Cetin's application for asylum and withholding of deportation.* We have reviewed the administrative record and find that substantial evidence supports the Board's and IJ's conclusion that Cetin failed to establish a well-founded fear of persecution necessary to qualify for relief from deportation. See 8 U.S.C.A. § 1105a(a)(4) (1994); 8 C.F.R. § 208.13(b)(2)(i) (2001); Huaman-Cornelio v. Board of Immigration Appeals, 979 F.2d 995, 999 (4th Cir. 1992); M.A. v. INS, 899 F.2d 304, 307, 313 & n.6 (4th Cir. 1990) (en banc).

Accordingly, we affirm the Board's order. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* Sevil Cetin's claim is derivative of her husband's claim for asylum.