

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 04-1301

CURLEE SHERMAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

PALMETTO MANUFACTURING COMPANY,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 04-1302

CURLEE SHERMAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

PALMETTO MANUFACTURING COMPANY,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Orangeburg. Margaret B. Seymour, District Judge. (CA-03-4139-3; CA-03-4140-5)

Submitted: June 10, 2004

Decided: June 24, 2004

Before NIEMEYER, WILLIAMS, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Curlee Sherman, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Curlee Sherman seeks to appeal the district court's orders dismissing his employment discrimination complaints. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) (2000). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Sherman that failure to file timely objections to the recommendation in each case could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendations. Despite this warning, Sherman failed to object to the magistrate judge's recommendations.

The timely filing of specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when the parties have been warned that failure to object will waive appellate review. See Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Sherman has waived appellate review by failing to file objections after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss the appeals.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED