

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 04-6443**

---

CURTIS PENNINGTON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

L. TAYLOR, Correctional Officer, POD Officer,

Defendant - Appellee,

and

MECKLENBURG CORRECTIONAL CENTER; VIRGINIA  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendants.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern  
District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Jerome B. Friedman, District  
Judge. (CA-02-604-2)

---

Submitted: May 27, 2004

Decided: June 4, 2004

---

Before WIDENER, MICHAEL, and KING, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Curtis Pennington, Appellant Pro Se. Mark Ralph Davis, OFFICE OF  
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Curtis Pennington appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2000) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Pennington v. Taylor, No. CA-02-604-2 (E.D. Va. Mar. 1, 2004). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED