

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 05-1400

---

H. MARIAM YOUNATHAN GETACHEW,

Petitioner,

versus

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General of the  
United States,

Respondent.

---

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration  
Appeals. (A96-289-096)

---

Submitted: October 21, 2005

Decided: January 11, 2006

---

Before LUTTIG, MICHAEL, and TRAXLER, Circuit Judges.

---

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Victor Mba-Jonas, Adelphi, Maryland, for Petitioner. Rod J.  
Rosenstein, United States Attorney, Kristine L. Sendek-Smith,  
Assistant United States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland, for  
Respondent.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

H. Mariam Younathan Getachew, a native and citizen of Ethiopia, petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals affirming, without opinion, the immigration judge's denial of his requests for asylum, withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture.

In his petition for review, Getachew challenges the immigration judge's determination that he failed to establish his eligibility for asylum. To obtain reversal of a determination denying eligibility for relief, an alien "must show that the evidence he presented was so compelling that no reasonable factfinder could fail to find the requisite fear of persecution." INS v. Elias-Zacarias, 502 U.S. 478, 483-84 (1992). We have reviewed the evidence of record and conclude that Getachew fails to show that the evidence compels a contrary result. Accordingly, we cannot grant the relief that he seeks.

Additionally, we uphold the denial of Getachew's request for withholding of removal. "Because the burden of proof for withholding of removal is higher than for asylum--even though the facts that must be proved are the same--an applicant who is ineligible for asylum is necessarily ineligible for withholding of removal under [8 U.S.C.] § 1231(b)(3)." Camara v. Ashcroft, 378 F.3d 361, 367 (4th Cir. 2004). Because Getachew fails to show that

he is eligible for asylum, he cannot meet the higher standard for withholding of removal.

We also find that substantial evidence supports the finding that Getachew fails to meet the standard for relief under the Convention Against Torture. To obtain such relief, an applicant must establish that "it is more likely than not that he or she would be tortured if removed to the proposed country of removal." 8 C.F.R. § 1208.16(c)(2) (2005). We find that Getachew failed to make the requisite showing before the immigration court.

Accordingly, we deny the petition for review. We also deny Getachew's pending motion for remand. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED