

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 05-6409

GEORGE RICHARD DOWLER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

MARY ANN SAAR, D.P.S.C.S.; FRANK C. SIZER,
JR., Commissioner of Corrections; JOHN H.
PRICE, Warden of Maryland Correctional
Institution,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 05-7653

GEORGE RICHARD DOWLER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

MARY ANN SAAR, Secretary, Department of Public
Safety and Correctional Services; FRANK C.
SIZER, JR., Commissioner of Corrections; JOHN
H. PRICE, Warden of Maryland Correctional
Institution,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of
Maryland, at Greenbelt. Roger W. Titus, District Judge. (CA-04-
3048-8-RWT)

Submitted: December 22, 2005

Decided: December 29, 2005

Before WIDENER, NIEMEYER, and KING, Circuit Judges.

No. 05-6409, dismissed and No. 05-7653, affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

George Richard Dowler, Appellant Pro Se. John Joseph Curran, Jr., Attorney General, Phillip Michael Pickus, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MARYLAND, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

In consolidated appeals, George Richard Dowler appeals the district court's March 3, 2005 order denying his motion to appoint counsel (No. 05-6409) and the district court's final order granting summary judgment in favor of the Defendants in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2000) complaint (No. 05-7653).

In No. 05-6409, Dowler seeks to appeal the district court's March 3, 2005, order denying his motion to appoint counsel. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2000), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2000); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541 (1949). The order Dowler seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. Accordingly, we dismiss that appeal for lack of jurisdiction.

In No. 05-7653, Dowler appeals the district court's final order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2000) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm that order on the reasoning of the district court. See Dowler v. Saar, No. CA-04-3048-8-RWT (D. Md. Sept. 28, 2005). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal

contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

No. 05-6409 - DISMISSED

No. 05-7653 - AFFIRMED