

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 06-6058**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

HENRY LEE JACKSON, JR.,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
South Carolina, at Spartanburg. Henry F. Floyd, District Judge.  
(CR-03-431; CA-04-22739-7)

---

Submitted: February 23, 2006

Decided: March 6, 2006

---

Before WIDENER, NIEMEYER, and KING, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Henry Lee Jackson, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. Alan Lance Crick,  
Assistant United States Attorney, Greenville, South Carolina, for  
Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Henry Lee Jackson, Jr., seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his motion filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2000). An appeal may not be taken from the final order in a § 2255 proceeding unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2000). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of his constitutional claims is debatable and that any dispositive procedural rulings by the district court are also debatable or wrong. See Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Jackson has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED