

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 09-6096

---

FREDERICK HAMILTON BANKS,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY; COUNSELOR JACKSON; BRUCE PEARSON;  
HARLEY LAPPIN; LARRY RANDLE; CONSTANCE REESE; CHAPLAIN  
HOLSTEN; CHAPLAIN WILLIAMS; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER JOHNSON;  
JERRY BARBER, Correctional Officer; GERALD BRATCHER;  
MCCUTCHON; SOUTHERLAND; KATHERYN LAWSON, Doctor; CHRISTOPHER  
CURRY; MILDRED GREER; EDEN WHITE; COUNSELOR GRIFFEN; CAMERON  
LINDSEY; MARC RENDA; ART ROBERTS; JULIE NICKLIN; WALTER  
HARRIS; D. GREEN; DENISE BREWER; PATRICIA R. STANSBERRY;  
CHAPLAIN ZICKEFOOSE; CHAPLAIN ROBINSON,

Respondents - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern  
District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. Terrence W. Boyle,  
District Judge. (5:08-hc-02117-BO)

---

Submitted: August 20, 2009

Decided: October 14, 2009

---

Before NIEMEYER, MICHAEL, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Frederick Hamilton Banks, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Frederick Hamilton Banks appeals the district court's order denying his petition for writ of mandamus. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Banks v. United States Attorney, No. 5:08-hc-02117-BO (E.D.N.C. Dec. 31, 2008). Although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED