

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-6736

THEOPHILUS BLACKSTON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

STEPHEN VOGRIN, Individually and Officially,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern
District of West Virginia, at Elkins. Robert E. Maxwell, Senior
District Judge. (2:10-cv-00014-REM-JSK)

Submitted: November 30, 2010

Decided: December 6, 2010

Before WILKINSON, KEENAN, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Theophilus Blackston, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Theophilus Blackston filed a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint alleging that a prosecutor violated his privacy rights by revealing medical information during the sentencing hearing in Blackston's criminal prosecution. A prosecutor is a quasi-judicial officer who enjoys absolute immunity when performing prosecutorial functions as an advocate for the state. Imbler v. Pachtman, 424 U.S. 409, 430-31 (1976). We therefore affirm the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Blackston's complaint. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED