

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 95-7456

RICARDO A. RENTERIA-PIEDRAHITA,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

W. J. THOMPSON, Warden, FCI Morgantown, WV;
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS; JANET RENO, Attor-
ney General of the United States; U.S. IMMI-
GRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern
District of West Virginia, at Clarksburg. William M. Kidd, Senior
District Judge. (CA-95-98)

Submitted: December 12, 1995

Decided: January 5, 1996

Before WILKINS, WILLIAMS, and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Ricardo A. Renteria-Piedrathita, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Ricardo A. Renteria-Piedrahita appeals from a district court order denying his petition for mandamus relief. We affirm.

Renteria-Piedrahita petitioned the district court to grant him mandamus relief in the form of an order to the Attorney General to begin deportation proceedings as directed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252(i) (1988). The district court found no private right of action and no standing to seek mandamus relief under 28 U.S.C. § 1361 (1988). The district court was correct. Amendment to immigration laws in 1994 forbids a construction of § 1252(i) that allows any private individual to force action to begin deportation proceedings. Immigration and Nationality Technical Corrections Act of 1994, Pub. L. No. 103-416, § 225, 108 Stat. 4305; see also Campos v. INS, 62 F.3d 311, 314 (9th Cir. 1995) (overruling prior Ninth Circuit cases); Hernandez-Avalos, 50 F.3d 842, 844 (10th Cir. 1995), cert. denied, 64 U.S.L.W. 3241 (U.S. 1995).

Therefore, we affirm the district court order. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED