

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 95-7984

GLENN L. CASE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

HAYNESVILLE CORRECTIONAL CENTER; G. M. HINKLE,
Warden; HAYNESVILLE CORRECTIONAL CENTER MEDI-
CAL DEPARTMENT; BRENDA LEWIS,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern Dis-
trict of Virginia, at Norfolk. Raymond A. Jackson, District Judge.
(CA-95-890-2)

Submitted: June 11, 1996

Decided: August 2, 1996

Before WIDENER, MURNAGHAN, and LUTTIG, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Glenn L. Case, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Glenn L. Case appeals the dismissal without prejudice of his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1988) complaint. The district court dismissed Case's complaint for failure to clarify his claim or respond to a questionnaire from the district court regarding his claim. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (1988), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (1988); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b). Because Case may be able to save this action by amending his complaint, the order which he seeks to appeal is not an appealable final order. See Domino Sugar Corp. v. Sugar Workers Local Union 392, 10 F.3d 1064, 1066-67 (4th Cir. 1993). Accordingly, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED