

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 96-1082**

---

EDWARD R. BUTLER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

KENNETH OESTREICHER; WHITEFORD, TAYLOR &  
PRESTON; TERRY L. MUSIKA; C. W. AMOS,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
Maryland, at Baltimore. Catherine C. Blake, District Judge. (CA-95-  
3117-CCB)

---

Submitted: September 20, 1996

Decided: October 2, 1996

---

Before NIEMEYER, HAMILTON, and MOTZ,\* Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Edward R. Butler, Appellant Pro Se. Richard Jeffrey Magid, Stephen  
Francis Fruin, John Francis Carlton, WHITEFORD, TAYLOR & PRESTON,  
Baltimore, Maryland; Lawrence David Coppel, John Martin Klein, II,  
GORDON, FEINBLATT, ROTHMAN, HOFFBERGER & HOLLANDER, Baltimore,  
Maryland, for Appellees.

---

\* Judge Motz did not participate in consideration of this  
case. The opinion is filed by a quorum of the panel pursuant to 28  
U.S.C. § 46(d).



---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals from the district court's order dismissing his civil complaint and his amended complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), and denying his motion for reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinions and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Butler v. Oestreicher, No. CA-95-3117-CCB (D. Md. Dec. 7, 1995; Jan. 4, 1996). Further, we deny Appellant's motion to remand this case to the state court. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED