

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-1222

MUHAMMED WALLIYULLAH KARIM ABDUL QADIR, a/k/a
Muhammad W.K. Abdul Qadir,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

CHARLES H. MCBRIDE, individually and as Ex-Mayor and Head of Cheraw Town Council; BILL TAYLOR, Sergeant, individually and as the Cheraw Town Administrator; GEORGE HARTZELL, individually and as the Magistrate for the Town of Cheraw; JAMES TILLEY, individually and as the Magistrate of the County of Chesterfield; HARRY DRAKEFORD, individually and as Ex-Chief for the Town of Cheraw; J. A. GRAVES, individually and Chief of the Cheraw City Police Department; JOHN SPEARS, individually and as officer of the Cheraw City Police Department; RANDY MALLOY, individually and as officer of the Cheraw City Police Department; OFFICER MCCLAIN, individually and as officer of the Cheraw City Police Department; UNKNOWN NAMES OF INSURANCE COMPANIES OR AGENTS FOR DEFENDANTS, individually and as agents for each insurance company; UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS OF THE CITY OF CHERAW; COUNTY OF CHESTERFIELD, SOUTH CAROLINA; STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS OF CHESTERFIELD GENERAL HOSPITAL, individually and in their official capacity,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Florence. Dennis W. Shedd, District Judge. (CA-94-780-4-19BC)

Submitted: July 23, 1996

Decided: July 30, 1996

Before WIDENER, NIEMEYER, and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Muhammed Walliyullah Karim, Appellant Pro Se. Andrew Foster McLeod, GRIGGS, SPRUILL & HARRIS, Cheraw, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals from the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1988) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion accepting the magistrate judge's recommendation and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. Karim Abdul Qadir v. McBride, No. CA-94-780-4-19BC (D.S.C. Feb. 1, 1996). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

