

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 96-7108

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

HOWARD ANTHONY RILEY, a/k/a Howie,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. John R. Hargrove, Senior District Judge. (CR-90-99-HAR, CA-96-530-HAR)

---

Submitted: February 27, 1997

Decided: March 11, 1997

---

Before MURNAGHAN, NIEMEYER, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Howard Anthony Riley, Appellant Pro Se. James Richard Alsup, Assistant United States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland; Maury S. Epner, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Greenbelt, Maryland, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Howard A. Riley seeks to appeal the district court's orders (1) denying his motion filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (1994), amended by Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-132, 110 Stat. 1214, and (2) denying his motion for reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion denying relief on Riley's § 2255 motion and find no reversible error and no abuse of discretion. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. United States v. Riley, Nos. CR-90-99-HAR; CA-96-530-HAR (D. Md. June 18, 1996). In light of this disposition, Appellant's motion for appointment of counsel is denied. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED