

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 97-6964**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

NATHANIEL MICHAEL STOKELY, a/k/a Jemar Abrams,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Claude M. Hilton, District Judge. (CR-91-466-A, CA-96-471-AM)

---

Submitted: November 6, 1997

Decided: November 25, 1997

---

Before WIDENER and LUTTIG, Circuit Judges, and BUTZNER, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Nathaniel Michael Stokely, Appellant Pro Se. Michael Edward Rich, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Alexandria, Virginia, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's order denying his motion filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (1994) (current version at 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West 1994 & Supp. 1997)). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. United States v. Stokely, Nos. CR-91-466-A; CA-96-471-AM (E.D. Va. July 15, 1997). See Lindh v. Murphy, 521 U.S. \_\_\_\_, 65 U.S.L.W. 4557 (U.S. June 23, 1997) (No. 96-6298). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED