

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 97-7247

DONALD SYLVESTER GROSS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

RICHARD LANHAM, SR., Commissioner of Corrections; SEWALL SMITH, Warden; EUGENE NUTH, Warden; JOSEPH WILSON, Assistant Warden; CAPTAIN LONG; LIEUTENANT ADAMS; S. PRESBURY, Lieutenant; LENA KENT, Sargeant; DONALD THOMAS, Sargeant; R. LAWSON, Sargeant; WILLIAM LEWIS, Sargeant; SARGEANT RUSSELL; S. HARLEE, Sargeant; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER SNELLING; J. ALSTON, Correctional Officer; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER BRIGHT; R. SANDERS, Correctional Officer; D. NORRIS, Correctional Officer; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER OTIS; MARJORIE WILLIAMS, Sargeant, Administrative Remedy Coordinator; RICHARD DUNCAN, Headquarters Administrative Remedy Coordinator; MCAC MAIL STAFF PERSONNEL; JANEL LEE, Administrative Remedy Coordinator,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Frederic N. Smalkin, District Judge. (CA-96-3758-S)

Submitted: December 18, 1997

Decided: January 7, 1998

Before WILKINS, NIEMEYER, and HAMILTON, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Donald Sylvester Gross, Appellant Pro Se. Stephanie Judith Lane-Weber, Assistant Attorney General, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's orders denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1994) complaint, denying his motion to compel discovery, and denying his motions for appointment of counsel. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm substantially on the reasoning of the district court. Gross v. Lanham, No. CA-96-3758-S (D. Md. Aug. 22, 1997). Further, we find that the district court properly exercised its discretion to deny Appellant's motions to compel discovery and for appointment of counsel. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED