

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 98-1491

EDDIE BRADFORD LEE, "Managing Trustee" of Silver Will (Company) Trust, Flax Trust, Rainbow Trust, D & J Trust, Highlander Trust, Road To Freedom Trust, Tree Trust, HFS Trust, Cornerstone Trust; WILLIAM SANFORD GADD, Executive Trustee of Silver Will (Company) Trust, Flax Trust, Rainbow Trust, D & J Trust, Highlander Trust, Road To Freedom Trust, Tree Trust, HFS Trust, Cornerstone Trust; KATHY CAROL MOORE,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

and

ONE HUNDRED AND SEVENTY-FIVE MEMBERS OF SILVER WILL,

Plaintiffs,

HENRY BELL, JR.; ALVIN BOWMAN; ALBERT L. CALLOWAY; LEE CHANG; JACK ELMORE CLINE; E. DANE EDWARDS; GERALD B. GABRIEL; CHARLES H. HOLMES; RAYMOND J. IRRER; SANDRA W. LITTLE; BOBBY L. MAYHEW; LESIA WHITLEY MAYHEW; JUANITA D. RICHARDSON; LARTHA L. RICHARDSON; DANA WILLIAM SISK; ROBERT H. SISK; JAMES BENTON STEWART; ROGER BERSET; WILLIAM LEO PUTNAM; BETTY C. NIBLOCK; MICHAEL CASTLEBURY; TRACY CASTLEBURY; TIM CASTLEBURY; MARK CASTLEBURY; BLAKE M. MCWHIRTER; JAMES WILLIS VANNOY; DANIEL THOMAS SAVET; KAREN DIANE SABET,

Parties in Interest,

versus

DAVID A. MCCLELLAN; BECKY RICE; DAN PAULSON;
JULIA MUELLER; H. BRENT MCKNIGHT; WILLIAM J.
WILLIAMSON; WACHOVIA BANK OF NORTH CAROLINA,
N.A.,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western
District of North Carolina, at Charlotte. Robert D. Potter, Senior
District Judge. (CA-97-355-3-P)

Submitted: July 22, 1998

Decided: August 5, 1998

Before ERVIN,* MICHAEL, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Eddie Bradford Lee, William Sanford Gadd, Kathy Carol Moore,
Appellants Pro Se. Jonathan Samuel Cohen, Donald Bruce Tobin,
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C.; James
Michael Sullivan, Assistant United States Attorney, Charlotte,
North Carolina; Ellen M. Gregg, WOMBLE, CARLYLE, SANDRIDGE & RICE,
Winston-Salem, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

* Judge Ervin did not participate in consideration of this
case. The opinion is filed by a quorum of the panel pursuant to 28
U.S.C. § 46(d).

PER CURIAM:

Appellants appeal the district court's order granting Defendants' motions to dismiss their civil complaint alleging that Defendants violated their constitutional rights by seizing their assets without a proper warrant. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Lee v. McClellan, No. CA-97-355-3-P (W.D.N.C. Nov. 21, 1997). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED