

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 98-1591

ROY L. PERRY-BEY,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

CITY OF NORFOLK, VIRGINIA, a Municipal Corporation; PAUL D. FRAIM, Mayor; HERBERT M. COLLINS, SR., Vice-Mayor; DAUN S. HESTER, Councilwoman; PAUL R. RIDDICK, Councilman; MASON C. ANDREWS, Councilman; RANDY W. WRIGHT, Councilman; CONOLY G. PHILLIPS, Councilman; JAMES B. OLIVER, City Manager; GLENDA SCHROLDEL; SHURL B. MONTGOMERY, Assistant City Manager; DARLENE L. BURCHAM, Assistant City Manager; DAUGHTRY R. BRECKENRIDGE, City Clerk; SHEILA WILDER, City Clerk; SANDRA THOMPSON; MARIETTA COWART; DONALD HAUPT, Fire Chief; CELIA BURNETT; JOHN KEIFER, Director of Public Works; ANN EBORN; DEBRA KNIGHT; BERNARD A. PISHKO, City Attorney; HAROLD P. JUREN, Deputy City Attorney; DANIEL HAGEMEISTER, Esq.; ANDRE A. FORMAN, Deputy City Attorney; MARY L. NEXSEN, Esq.; MARTHA G. ROLLINS, Esq.; NATHANIEL BEAMAN, IV, Esq.; JACOB STROMAN, IV, Esq.; CYNTHIA B. HALL, Esq.; CHARLES S. PRENTACE, Esq.; JACK E. CLOUD, Esq.; JOHN M. RYAN, JR., Esq.; JON GLASS, Staff Writer; WAVY TV 10; ANGIE HYMAN; WTKR TV 3; ANTWAN LEWIS; LISA DOMINICI; WVEC TV; VIRGINIA PILOT; STEPHEN LATTIMORE, Staff Reporter; KAY TUCKER ADDIS, Editor; LYNN FEIGENBAUM, Public editor; BRUCE BRADLEY, President and Publisher; HENRY DOUCETTE, Staff Writer; ARNELL DIMAANDAL; MARIO HEWITT, Station manager; JONATHAN COSTEN, Staff Reporter,

Defendants - Appellees,

PATRICK HENRY,

Party in Interest - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. J. Calvitt Clarke, Jr., Senior District Judge. (CA-98-178-2)

Submitted: August 11, 1998

Decided: August 31, 1998

Before MURNAGHAN, NIEMEYER, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Roy L. Perry-Bey, Appellant Pro Se. Gary Alvin Bryant, Kimberly Dawn Rouse, Conrad Moss Shumadine, WILLCOX & SAVAGE, Norfolk, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's judgment granting summary judgment to the Defendants and dismissing his civil rights complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Perry-Bey v. Norfolk, No. CA-98-178-2 (E.D. Va. Apr. 8, 1998). We deny Appellant's motions for appointment of counsel, for a hearing on the appointment of counsel motion and for a hearing in banc. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED