

Filed: March 23, 1999

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

Nos. 98-6471(L)
(CR-92-34, CA-97-209-P, CA-97-210-P)

United States of America,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

Alton Ray Truesdale, et al,

Defendants - Appellants.

O R D E R

The court amends its opinion filed September 8, 1998, as follows:

On the cover sheet, section 4, line 3, and on page 2, text of opinion, line 7 -- case number "CA-97-209-P" is added to the lower court information.

For the Court - By Direction

/s/ Patricia S. Connor
Clerk

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 98-6471

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

ALTON RAY TRUESDALE,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 98-6472

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

TENNISON ALEXANDER HARRIS,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Western
District of North Carolina, at Charlotte. Robert D. Potter, Senior
District Judge. (CR-92-34, CA-97-209-P, CA-97-210-P)

Submitted: July 28, 1998

Decided: September 8, 1998

Before ERVIN and HAMILTON, Circuit Judges, and PHILLIPS, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Alton Ray Truesdale, Tennison Alexander Harris, Appellants Pro Se.
Kenneth Davis Bell, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY,
Charlotte, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Alton Ray Truesdale and Tennison Alexander Harris seek to appeal the district court's orders denying their motions filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West 1994 & Supp. 1998). We have reviewed the records and the district court's opinions and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny certificates of appealability and dismiss the appeals on the reasoning of the district court. United States v. Truesdale, No. CR-92-34; CA-97-209-P (W.D.N.C. Mar. 4, 1998); United States v. Harris, Nos. CR-92-34; CA-97-210-P (W.D.N.C. Mar. 9, 1998). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED