

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 98-6945

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

ANTHONY GRANDISON,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Alexander Williams, Jr., District Judge. (CR-82-501-JH, CA-97-1303-AW)

Submitted: November 19, 1998

Decided: December 2, 1998

Before HAMILTON and WILLIAMS, Circuit Judges, and BUTZNER, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Anthony Grandison, Appellant Pro Se. Juliet Ann Eurich, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Anthony Grandison seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying his motion filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West 1994 & Supp. 1998) and denying his motion for reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinions and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. United States v. Grandison, Nos. CR-82-501-JH; CA-97-1303-AW (D. Md. Mar. 27 and Apr. 16, 1998).^{*} We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

^{*} Although the district court's judgment is marked as "filed" on March 26, 1998, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on March 27, 1998. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 58 and 79(a), it is the date that the judgment was physically entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).